Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED ADAPTIVE LEARNING SYSTEMS

Azizov Dilshod Zokhidkhon ugli
Head of Cycle of the Military Security and
Defense University of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Abstract
This scientific article analyzes the conceptual foundations, structural elements, and operational mechanisms of **Adaptive Learning Systems**. These systems are defined as intelligent platforms that analyze the instructional process in real-time and automatically calibrate learning materials to match the learner's specific knowledge baseline. The paper elaborates thoroughly on the three primary architectural components of the system: the **student model**, the **domain (content) model**, and the **adaptation engine (algorithms)**. Furthermore, the strategic role of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms in adaptive education is examined, along with the core implementation challenges and prospective developmental trends of these systems.

Keywords: Adaptive learning, artificial intelligence, student model, domain model, adaptation engine, machine learning, knowledge tracing, personalized education.

Introduction

One of the primary challenges of the modern educational system is the effective organization of an instructional process adapted to the individual characteristics of each learner. Although the traditional **"one-size-fits-all"** approach has long

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

served as the foundational model of the educational system, today it fails to adequately accommodate students' diverse knowledge baselines, rates of content mastery, cognitive capacities, and individual learning strategies. Consequently, within the educational process, certain groups of learners lag behind, while others are unable to fully realize their latent potential. This scenario induces critical bottlenecks, including a decline in educational efficiency, a deceleration of academic motivation, and the sub-optimal utilization of institutional resources.

From this perspective, the integration of innovative technologies aimed at securing an individualized approach is acquiring paramount importance in contemporary pedagogical research. In particular, the infusion of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** technologies into the educational domain enables a fundamental transformation of the traditional instructional paradigm. As a result of this process, **Adaptive Learning Systems** are rapidly evolving, shifting education away from a static and standardized configuration toward a dynamic, data-driven, and individually responsive ecosystem.

Adaptive Learning Systems leverage cutting-edge architectures such as Artificial Intelligence, **Machine Learning**, **Deep Learning**, and **Big Data Analytics**. These systems compute a learner's knowledge baseline, error dynamics, velocity of content mastery, and cognitive attributes in real-time to automatically calibrate the instructional material in accordance with individual needs. Consequently, a customized learning trajectory is generated for each unique learner, structurally augmenting the overall efficiency and quality of education.

Over the past decade, scientific and practical interest in adaptive learning systems has escalated dramatically. This momentum was further accelerated, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, by the widespread implementation of remote and blended learning models. Under pandemic conditions, the constraints imposed on traditional classroom-based instruction heightened the demand for digital learning platforms, thereby transforming adaptive technologies into an indispensable component of the educational ecosystem.

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

Concurrently, the evolution of adaptive learning systems necessitates novel approaches not only from a technological perspective but also from pedagogical and methodological standpoints. Issues such as the individualization of the instructional process, the optimization of the learner's cognitive load, and the real-time calibration of teaching strategies are emerging as the focal areas of contemporary scientific research.

Adaptive learning is defined as an intelligent pedagogical approach that continuously calibrates the educational process in real-time, based on the individual user's knowledge baseline, cognitive traits, learning velocity, and error dynamics. The core premise of this paradigm is that the instructional process is conceptualized not as a static, predetermined structure, but as a continuously evolving, data-driven dynamic system.

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) represent intelligent frameworks that analyze the instructional process in real-time and automatically customize learning materials to match the learner's knowledge depth.

These systems are anchored by the following core components:

- The student model
- The domain (content) model
- The adaptation engine (algorithms)

Leveraging artificial intelligence algorithms, the system evaluates the learner's error patterns, pacing, level of mastery, and cognitive attributes. Within adaptive learning environments, the substance of the educational material, its presentation modality, complexity tier, instructional sequencing, and learning velocity are individually optimized for each unique student. Consequently, the educational process abandons the legacy "one-size-fits-all" framework, transitioning instead into a differentiated system that maps customized learning paths.

The conceptualization and evolution of the adaptive learning framework have unfolded across several historical and technological milestones, which can be categorized into the following foundational evolutionary periods:

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

1. The 1980s–1990s – The Phase of Adaptive Hypermedia Systems. This era witnessed the formulation of the initial technological foundations of adaptive instruction. Adaptive Hypermedia Systems (AHS) aimed to customize hypertextual resources based on the user's knowledge baseline. During this period, educational content was predominantly structured as static hypertext, and systems executed information selection and sorting functionalities dictated by the user's navigational behavior.

This phase represented an initial form of adaptivity, wherein the primary focus was directed toward content structuring and configuring optimal information pathways for the user.

2. The 1990s–2010s – The Phase of Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS). During the subsequent stage, Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) emerged, driven by advancements in artificial intelligence and cognitive psychology. These systems evolved beyond mere content delivery, acquiring the capacity to diagnose the learner's knowledge state, analyze error patterns, and deploy individualized feedback loops.

For the first time, conceptual components such as the "student model," "domain model," and "tutor model" were structurally integrated within ITS frameworks. This conceptual shift transformed adaptive learning from a rudimentary information-transmission channel into an intellectually driven pedagogical decision-making system.

3. From 2010 to the Present – The Phase of AI-Driven Adaptive Platforms. The contemporary milestone marks the highest level of development within adaptive learning architectures. Throughout this period, adaptive environments have become profoundly intellectualized due to the widespread implementation of Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Natural Language Processing (NLP), and Big Data Analytics.

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

Modern adaptive platforms analyze learners'digital footprints in real-time– including in-system actions, response latency, sequential error distributions, interactivity indices, and learning behaviors. Relying on these granular datasets, underlying algorithms automatically calibrate the learning material and map out customized educational trajectories.

Consequently, the educational process transitions from a pre-scripted scenario into a dynamic system governed by predictive and adaptive management principles. This paradigm not only maximizes educational efficacy but also optimizes the learner's cognitive load, facilitating deep knowledge retention.

Artificial intelligence constitutes the foundational technological core of adaptive learning systems, executing critical functions across several domains:

- **Machine Learning:** Employed for predictive forecasting of learner behavior;
- **Deep Learning:** Utilized to decipher complex cognitive patterns;
- **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** Implemented to power interactive educational interfaces;
- **Reinforcement Learning:** Applied to optimize and dynamically refine instructional strategies.

These technologies systematically transition education from a passive construct into an active, dynamic ecosystem.

The optimal operability of adaptive learning systems hinges upon a suite of fundamental pedagogical, cognitive, and technological principles. These tenets dictate not only the technical architecture of the system but also its core didactic substance, driving the customization of the learning experience to fit individual requirements.

1. The Principle of Individualization. Individualization stands as a core tenet of adaptive education, mandating the comprehensive evaluation of each learner's knowledge baseline, cognitive style, mastery velocity, motivational state, and subjective needs. Modern adaptive configurations cluster learners into dynamic

profiles rather than assignment to static grous, thereby charting customized learning pathways for each user. As a result, the instructional cycle undergoes a transformation from a ‘standardized approach” to a “learner-centric educational model.”
Every unique learner possesses an intrinsic right to an education calibrated to their specific knowledge baseline, natural faculties, interests, and immediate needs. The system’s diagnostic tools isolate the learner’s relative academic strengths and vulnerabilities, dynamically selecting a matching pedagogical strategy. Crucially, individualization applies not only to the difficulty tier of the educational content but also extends to its presentation modality, delivery velocity, and structural sequencing.

2. The Principle of Real-Time Adaptation. One of the primary advantages of adaptive systems is their capacity to operate in real-time. The system continuously analyzes the learner's responses, errors, response latency, interactive actions, and behavioral patterns. Based on these datasets, the complexity tier of the learning material, its presentation modality, and the overall instructional strategy are instantaneously calibrated. This directly serves to optimize cognitive load and augment instructional efficacy.
While modifications in traditional educational frameworks are executed only at the conclusion of a semester or academic year, the adaptation process in adaptive environments occurs without latency, in real-time. Every interaction, response, and error committed by the learner is computed, triggering an immediate customization of the educational content.

3. The Principle of Data-Driven Decision Making. In adaptive learning systems, pedagogical decisions are anchored in high-density empirical datasets rather than subjective observations. Machine learning algorithms, statistical models, and learning analytics technologies are deployed to decipher the learner's

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

knowledge baseline and developmental dynamics. On this basis, the system executes automated decisions regarding content selection, the calibration of complexity thresholds, and the formulation of individualized recommendations.

This paradigm shifts the educational process into a scientifically grounded management model. By relying on objective statistical metrics and mathematically verified algorithms instead of the educator's subjective intuition or experience, the system ensures the reliability and replicability of its pedagogical interventions.

4. The Principle of Continuous Improvement. Adaptive systems are not static configurations; they function as self-learning entities. They systematically harvest vast volumes of user data and continuously optimize their underlying algorithms based on these empirical insights. Interaction with each consecutive user enhances the predictive accuracy of the system. Consequently, the threshold of educational quality and adaptive calibration improves significantly over time.

By aggregating and analyzing anonymized datasets from a vast pool of learners, the adaptive system establishes a "collective intelligence" effect–the wider the deployment of the system, the more intellectually rigorous and effective its adaptive strategies become.

5. The Principle of Interactivity and Active Participation. Within the adaptive learning paradigm, the learner is conceptualized not as a passive consumer of information, but as an active agent of the instructional process. The system systematically engages the student in high-interactivity tasks through targeted questioning, problem-based scenarios, adaptive assessments, and immersive simulations. This approach drives the cultivation of competencies in critical thinking, high-order problem-solving, and autonomous decision-making.

High indices of interactivity substantially amplify the overall efficacy of the learning cycle and expand the pragmatic transferability of acquired knowledge

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

assets. Rather than remaining a passive observer, the student continuously executes cognitive tasks, submits responses, interrogates the system, and evaluates their own mastery, yielding drastically superior pedagogical outcomes compared to passive listening modalities.

The classical architecture of adaptive learning systems typically comprises three primary functional components alongside a suite of auxiliary modules. This structural framework is anchored in the conceptual model pioneered by Shute and Zapata-Rivera (2012), which constitutes the methodological foundation of modern intelligent educational architectures. This architecture secures a systemic approach toward modeling, evaluating, and optimizing the instructional cycle.

2.1. The Student Model. The student model is the most critical architectural component of an adaptive ecosystem, executing functionalities for aggregating, storing, updating, and computing vital data profiles regarding the learner. Through this module, the system constructs a highly individualized cognitive profile for each learner, facilitating the contextual calibration of the educational process based on this empirical matrix. The student model encapsulates the following core data taxonomies:

- **1. Cognitive Attributes:** This category encompasses the learner's current knowledge depth, content mastery velocity, working memory capacity and processing mechanics, attention distribution index, and distinct cognitive or thinking style. These cognitive parameters serve as primary indices for modulating the complexity thresholds of the educational path.

- **2. Metacognitive Attributes:** The metacognitive component represents the learner’s capacity to monitor, regulate, and comprehend their own knowledge states. This includes self-assessment abilities, strategic learning planning, and analytical tracking and correction of one’s own errors. These parameters play a decisive role in determining the efficiency of autonomous learning.

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

- **3. Affective Attributes:** The affective component delineates the emotional and motivational configurations of the learner. Indices such as motivation levels, dynamic interest trajectories, self-efficacy, and thresholds of stress and anxiety serve as key determinants governing the student's engagement and resilience throughout the instructional cycle.

- **4. Demographic Attributes:** Demographic datasets capture age, historical educational backgrounds, social context, and fundamental learning preferences. These baseline metrics aid in configuring the initial scaffolding and baseline settings of the adaptive strategy.

In contemporary adaptive learning environments, probabilistic architectures and deep learning methodologies are extensively deployed to construct and update the student model. Specifically, while **Bayesian Knowledge Tracing (BKT)** enables the tracking of a learner's knowledge states within a probabilistic framework, **Deep Knowledge Tracing (DKT)** leverages neural network modeling to capture the evolution of knowledge depth with drastically heightened precision.

2.2. The Domain Model (Content Model)

The domain model constitutes the foundational knowledge base of the adaptive system, delineating the structural, semantic, and didactic organization of the instructional material. This model conceptualizes the educational content as a hierarchically and logically interconnected system.

The domain model is comprised of the following core elements:

- **1. Knowledge Structure (Knowledge Graph):** The knowledge graph maps the complex interconnections among the primary concepts and topics of the subject matter. It delineates prerequisites and sequential dependencies, as well as the unique complexity threshold of each topic. This architectural design ensures the logical sequencing of the instructional cycle.

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

- **2. Learning Objects:** Learning objects represent the discrete pragmatic units of the educational content. They encapsulate theoretical materials (linear text, video, audio assets), application tasks, standardized assessments, and interactive simulations. Each learning object functions as an autonomous didactic unit.

- **3. Metadata:** Metadata attributes define the pedagogical characteristics of each unique learning object, including its difficulty tier, estimated consumption time, associated conceptual nodes, recommended ordering, and prerequisite requirements. These indices serve as critical input parameters for the adaptation engine.

The domain model is typically modeled upon ontologies and semantic networks, enabling a formal and machine-readable representation of relationships between distinct knowledge assets.

2.3. The Adaptation Engine (Adaptation Algorithms)

The adaptation engine represents the central computational intelligence component of the system, functioning as the primary "decision-making core" or the “brain” of the adaptive architecture. By evaluating and computing cross-referenced datasets extracted from the student model and the domain model, this module dynamically determines the specific presentation modality, sequencing, and complexity thresholds under which the learning content is delivered.

The architectural approaches to the adaptation engine encompass the following paradigms:

- **1. Rule-Based Adaptation:** This approach operates on deterministic “if-then” logical constructs. While computationally straightforward and structurally transparent to implement, it exhibits constrained flexibility when processing complex, highly non-linear, or ambiguous educational contexts.

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

- **2. Recommendation-Based Adaptation:** This methodology computes user behavioral tracking matrices belonging to peer cohorts with similar dynamic profiles. Operating via collaborative filtering algorithms, its primary advantage lies in its heightened predictive precision when operating within massive data environments; however, it remains susceptible to the "cold start" paradox.

- **3. Content-Based Adaptation:** This paradigm is dictated by the individual learner's historical academic trajectories and baseline preferences. The system selects customized content modules matching the student's historical mastery matrix. Nevertheless, structural limitations can manifest when attempting to introduce entirely novel, non-correlated genres of educational content.

- **4. Machine Learning-Based (ML-Based) Adaptation:** This advanced framework harnesses deep neural networks, deep learning models, and complex statistical algorithms. The system processes high-density datasets to generate predictive diagnostics regarding the learner's prospective instructional requirements. While securing optimal personalization and high-precision adaptation, it demands significant computational resources.

- **5. Hybrid Adaptation:** The hybrid architecture maximizes systemic efficiency by integrating multiple adaptive methodologies. It fuses the deterministic stability of rule-based logic with the predictive agility of content-based and machine learning paradigms. However, this convergence significantly complexes the systemic architecture and escalates computational resource requirements.

Adaptive and hyper-personalized educational systems driven by artificial intelligence represent one of the most transformative frontiers in contemporary pedagogy. The ongoing paradigm shifts fueled by digital transformation, Big Data, machine learning, and artificial intelligence are elevating the instructional

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

cycle to a radically advanced level. Platforms engineered upon these architectures secure the capacity to automatically calibrate the instructional flow in absolute alignment with the learner's individual cognitive characteristics, knowledge baselines, motivational states, and learning velocities.

The legacy “one-size-fits-all” educational model is fundamentally incapable of fulfilling the unique needs of the contemporary digital native generation. In contrast, adaptive educational architectures structurally optimize instructional efficiency by continuously monitoring learner interactions in real-time, executing precise cognitive diagnostics, and charting hyper-personalized learning paths. Notably, frameworks deployed upon Bayesian Knowledge Tracing (BKT), Deep Knowledge Tracing (DKT), deep neural networks, and algorithmic recommendation engines deliver exceptional empirical precision in forecasting the evolution of a learner’s knowledge depth.

Furthermore, the empirical analysis confirms that the pedagogical efficacy of adaptive learning correlates directly with its structural alignment with the human cognitive architecture. Adaptation mechanisms anchored in Cognitive Load Theory systematically minimize working memory overhead, creating optimal parameters for high-efficiency knowledge acquisition. This optimization directly fosters the cultivation of competencies in critical thinking, real-time decision-making under complex scenarios, and autonomous lifelong learning.

Additionally, this study substantiates that the structural anatomy of an adaptive learning system is formulated as a complex, tripartite matrix comprising the Student Model, the Domain Model, and the Adaptation Engine. The structural convergence of these discrete modules gives rise to an intellectual, hyper-flexible, and deeply predictive pedagogical ecosystem.

For military and highly specialized educational institutions, adaptive learning configurations possess supreme strategic value. They provide the institutional capability to evaluate a cadet's individual preparedness thresholds, psychological states, and operational decision-making velocities in real-time. Consequently,

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

this broadens the efficacy of cultivating professional cadres capable of executing highly precise functions under intense psychological stress, non-linear uncertainty (fog of war), and severe temporal constraints.

In conclusion, AI-driven adaptive learning systems manifest not merely as automation conduits for the instructional process, but as a profound conceptual transformation of the contemporary pedagogical paradigm. By structurally maximizing educational flexibility, systemic efficiency, and the thresholds of personalization, they establish the definitive methodological foundation for the future of global education.

Based on the comprehensive theoretical and empirical insights delineated above, the following scientific proposals and strategic recommendations are advanced:

- **1. Systematic Institutional Implementation:** Higher education institutions should execute a phased, systematic implementation of artificial intelligence-based adaptive learning platforms. This structural intervention will serve to cultivate highly responsive instructional environments meticulously aligned with the idiosyncratic needs and cognitive profiles of individual learners.

- **2. Interdisciplinary Framework Synthesis:** In engineering and updating adaptive learning architectures, it is highly recommended to synthesize the contemporary breakthroughs of cognitive psychology, neuropedagogics, and learning analytics. Such interdisciplinary integration is vital to maximize the ultimate pedagogical efficacy and didactical accuracy of these systems.

- **3. Aggregation of Localized Data Repositories:** It is strategically appropriate to construct centralized, secure national data repositories capable of continuous aggregation of learners' "digital footprints." These granular empirical assets should serve as the empirical foundation for training and deploying localized adaptive algorithms customized to regional educational contexts.

Eureka Open Access Journals
Open Access | Peer Reviewed | International Journals | Multidisciplinary Areas
Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

- **4. Strategic Deployment in Specialized Education:** The integration of adaptive simulation environments and Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) within military and highly specialized educational domains must be prioritized. This deployment is critical to accelerating the cultivation of cadets' high-order operational, tactical, and strategic thinking competencies under simulated uncertainty.

- **5. Semantic Knowledge Modeling:** Pedagogical content designated for adaptive frameworks must be engineered utilizing advanced semantic modeling, formal ontologies, and dynamic **Knowledge Graphs**. This structural approach ensures machine-readability and secures logical, fluid prerequisite mapping across domain disciplines.

- **6. Regulatory and Ethical Governance:** Comprehensive empirical research into the ethical, psychological, and information security dimensions of AI-driven adaptive ecosystems is strictly demanded. Concurrently, policy-makers must proactively refine the regulatory and legal frameworks governing algorithmic bias, data privacy, and intellectual property rights within intelligent learning environments.

- **7. Convergence with Next-Generation Paradigms:** Looking forward, the structural convergence of adaptive learning systems with generative artificial intelligence (**Generative AI**), multimodal neural networks, and immersive extended realities (**VR/AR/XR**) represents a highly prospective vector for subsequent scientific inquiry, promising the realization of hyper-realistic, context-aware educational spaces.

In conclusion, adaptive learning systems–functioning as an integrative nexus of pedagogical, psychological, mathematical, and informational sciences–stand as a primary catalyst for the transformation of the contemporary educational paradigm. A profound comprehension of their underlying conceptual

ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

architectures remains the definitive prerequisite for their successful engineering, deployment, and systemic evolution.

References

1. Bloom, B. S. The 2 Sigma Problem: The Search for Methods of Group Instruction as Effective as One-to-One Tutoring / B. S. Bloom // Educational Researcher. – 1984. – Vol. 13, No. 6. – P. 4–16.
2. Brusilovsky, P. Methods and Techniques of Adaptive Hypermedia / P. Brusilovsky // User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction. – 1996. – Vol. 6, No. 2. – P. 87–129.
3. Corbett, A. T. Knowledge Tracing: Modeling the Acquisition of Procedural Knowledge / A. T. Corbett, J. R. Anderson // User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction. – 1994. – Vol. 4, No. 4. – P. 253–278.
4. Graf, S. A. Analysis of Cognitive Styles in Adaptive Educational Systems / S. Graf, S. R. Kinshuk, T. C. Liu // Computers in Human Behavior. – 2010. – Vol. 26, No. 1. – P. 113–125.
5. Koedinger, K. R. An Intelligent Tutoring System Perspective on Learning Analytics / K. R. Koedinger, V. Aleven // Handbook of Learning Analytics. – Beaumont: Society for Learning Analytics Research, 2016. – P. 155–168.
6. Piech, C. Deep Knowledge Tracing / C. Piech, J. Bassen, J. Huang // Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS). – 2015. – Vol. 28. – P. 505–513.
7. Ricci, F. Introduction to Recommender Systems Handbook / F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira // Recommender Systems Handbook. – New York: Springer, 2011. – P. 1–35.
8. Shute, V. J. Diagnostic Assessment in Adaptive Learning Environments / V. J. Shute, D. Zapata-Rivera // Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. – New York: Routledge, 2012. – P. 311–322.

Eureka Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Data Innovation (EJAIDI)
ISSN 2760-5000 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2026

https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/11

9. Sweller, J. Cognitive Load Theory / J. Sweller, J. J. G. van Merriënboer, F. Paas. – New York: Routledge, 2019. – 284 p.
10. Verdú, E. The Impact of COVID-19 on the Acceleration of Adaptive Learning Systems / E. Verdú, J. P. Rego, M. A. Sánchez // Journal of Educational Computing Research. – 2022. – Vol. 60, No. 4. – P. 843–865.