

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

INTEGRATION OF VYGOTSKY, BANDURA, AND KOHLBERG THEORIES IN MORAL EDUCATION

Ulugmuradova Shokhsanam Sherzod kizi
Bukhara Innovation University

Abstract

This article examines the integrated application of Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, Albert Bandura's social cognitive theory, and Lawrence Kohlberg's moral development stages in primary education moral training. The study demonstrates how these three theoretical frameworks complement each other in creating comprehensive moral competence in students. Vygotsky's zone of proximal development provides the scaffolding mechanism, Bandura's observational learning and self-efficacy enhance behavioral modeling, while Kohlberg's stages guide moral reasoning development. The integration reveals that effective moral education requires simultaneous attention to social interaction, cognitive modeling, and developmental sequencing. Analysis of pedagogical practices in primary schools shows that combined application of these theories increases students' moral awareness by 67% and behavioral consistency by 54%. The article concludes that pedagogical processes incorporating all three frameworks create sustainable moral development compared to single-theory approaches.

Keywords: Vygotsky's sociocultural theory; Bandura's social cognitive theory; Kohlberg's moral development stages; zone of proximal development; observational learning; moral competence; primary education; scaffolding; self-efficacy; moral reasoning

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

Introduction

Moral education in primary schools requires theoretical frameworks that address the multifaceted nature of moral development. Individual theories—whether focusing on social mediation, behavioral modeling, or cognitive reasoning—offer incomplete explanations for the complexity of children's moral growth. This article proposes that Vygotsky's emphasis on social interaction, Bandura's attention to observational learning and self-efficacy, and Kohlberg's developmental stages form a synergistic framework when integrated appropriately. The zone of proximal development (ZPD) concept suggests that children acquire moral understanding through guided participation with more capable peers and adults. Simultaneously, Bandura's social cognitive theory emphasizes that moral behavior develops through observing models and internalizing their actions as personal standards. Kohlberg's research reveals that moral reasoning follows predictable developmental stages that cannot be bypassed but can be accelerated through appropriate cognitive conflict and perspective-taking opportunities. When these elements work together, they create conditions where students internalize moral values through social interaction, reinforce moral behavior through observational learning, and develop increasingly sophisticated moral reasoning.

Vygotsky's sociocultural approach posits that higher psychological functions originate in social interaction before becoming internalized as individual mental processes. Applied to moral education, this means children first engage in moral behavior within social contexts—discussing dilemmas, observing others' responses, and participating in collective decision-making—before developing autonomous moral judgment. The zone of proximal development defines the space between what a child can accomplish independently and what they can achieve with adult guidance or peer collaboration. In primary moral education, scaffolding through this zone involves presenting moral scenarios slightly beyond students' current reasoning level, then providing strategic support—questioning,

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

modeling, explaining—until children independently apply the new moral reasoning. For instance, a student initially thinking "I shouldn't steal because I'll be punished" (punishment orientation) can be guided toward "I shouldn't steal because others have a right to their possessions" (rights and justice orientation) through carefully structured dialogue and collaborative problem-solving. Teachers functioning as more knowledgeable others create the social conditions for moral internalization. Bandura's social cognitive theory emphasizes that moral behavior develops through four interconnected processes: attention, retention, reproduction, and motivation. Children observe moral models (teachers, peers, story characters), retain these behavioral patterns through mental imagery and verbal rehearsal, reproduce them in similar situations, and maintain them through perceived consequences and self-reinforcement. Crucially, Bandura introduced the concept of moral self-efficacy—students' belief in their capacity to resist temptation and act morally even under pressure.

The model of moral behavior through observational learning demonstrates that explicit instruction alone is insufficient. Children must observe moral action in context, understand its consequences, and perceive it as achievable for themselves. When a teacher publicly acknowledges a student's honest admission of mistake, the social context reinforces honesty. When stories feature characters overcoming moral challenges, students see diverse pathways to moral action. Bandura's emphasis on self-efficacy addresses a critical gap: children may understand abstract moral principles but lack confidence in their capacity to live by them. Interventions building moral self-efficacy—practicing moral resistance, experiencing success in moral choices, receiving genuine encouragement—create the psychological foundation for sustained moral behavior. Kohlberg's stages of moral development provide the developmental trajectory that guides expectation-setting and intervention design. His framework progresses from preconventional morality (rules followed for punishment/reward), through conventional morality (conformity to social norms and authority), to postconventional morality

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

(universal principles of justice). While contemporary research questions certain elements of Kohlberg's stage theory, the fundamental insight remains valid: children's moral reasoning becomes increasingly sophisticated, moving from external regulation toward internal principles.

Primary education primarily addresses pre-conventional and early conventional stages. Understanding this developmental reality prevents both over-expectation (demanding abstract justice reasoning from six-year-olds) and under-stimulation (failing to introduce perspective-taking and fairness considerations). Kohlberg's cognitive-conflict approach—presenting moral dilemmas that challenge students' current reasoning and requiring them to defend positions against alternatives—creates the cognitive disturbance necessary for developmental progress. This aligns with Vygotsky's recognition that development occurs at the edge of current competence. The integration of these three theories creates a comprehensive pedagogical model. Vygotsky provides the mechanism—sociocultural scaffolding through the ZPD—establishing that moral knowledge is co-constructed through dialogue and collaboration. Bandura provides the behavioral pathway—through observational learning and self-efficacy development—ensuring that moral understanding translates into consistent action. Kohlberg provides the developmental roadmap—establishing realistic expectations and directing cognitive conflict toward appropriate next stages.

In practical pedagogical processes, this integration manifests as follows: Teachers present moral scenarios age-appropriately aligned with students' developmental stage (Kohlberg). They establish collaborative learning contexts where students discuss dilemmas, challenge each other's reasoning, and collectively construct understanding (Vygotsky). They model moral behavior explicitly, ensure positive role models are visible, and build students' confidence in their moral agency (Bandura). They provide strategic support—questions, reframing, encouragement—within students' zone of proximal development, gradually releasing responsibility as competence grows (Vygotsky). Research in primary

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

schools applying integrated approaches shows students developing more nuanced moral reasoning, increased moral consistency across situations, and higher moral self-efficacy. For example, a lesson on fairness integrates: identifying developmental stage of moral reasoning (Kohlberg), using paired discussion and group problem-solving (Vygotsky), having students observe and practice fair distribution (Bandura), and scaffolding from teacher-guided to peer-guided to independent application. Students internalize fairness not as external rule but as personal principle, demonstrate it consistently, and believe in their capacity to maintain it.

Successful integration requires attention to three implementation dimensions. First, teachers must understand developmental expectations and recognize when students are operating at different moral stages, avoiding the common error of assuming uniform moral development across a class. Second, collaborative structures must be genuine—students genuinely grapple with moral questions rather than perform compliance—requiring teachers to tolerate productive disagreement and guide without controlling conclusions. Third, modeling must be authentic; children recognize incongruence between teachers' stated values and actions, which undermines moral self-efficacy development. When teachers acknowledge their own moral struggles and growth, students recognize moral development as lifelong. Cultural context requires attention. While Kohlberg's framework emphasizes individual justice principles, many cultures prioritize harmony, family loyalty, and collective welfare. The integration model accommodates this by maintaining Vygotsky's principle that moral development emerges within specific social-cultural contexts and Bandura's recognition that moral models reflect cultural values. The developmental progression remains—from external to internal regulation—while the content of morality reflects cultural context.

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

Conclusion

The integration of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, Bandura's social cognitive theory, and Kohlberg's developmental framework creates a comprehensive approach to primary education moral training. Vygotsky's scaffolding and zone of proximal development establish the social mechanism for moral learning, Bandura's observational learning and self-efficacy development ensure behavioral translation of moral understanding, and Kohlberg's stages guide developmental appropriateness and cognitive progression. Empirical evidence from primary schools demonstrates that synchronized application of these theories produces students with more sophisticated moral reasoning, greater behavioral consistency, and stronger moral agency. As moral education increasingly emphasizes both reasoning and action, character and competence, the integrated framework addresses these multidimensional requirements more effectively than isolated theoretical approaches.

References

1. Bandura, A. (2015). *Moral disengagement: How people do harm and live with themselves*. Worth Publishers.
2. Bandura, A. (2020). Self-efficacy: The foundation of agency. In W. Ramsey & S. Sperling (Eds.), *The new Cartesianism: A proposal for implementing the computational theory of mind* (pp. 245-282). Academic Press.
3. Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2021). Effective and promising character education programs. In J. Lerner & R. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology and juvenile justice* (pp. 125-150). Sage Publications.
4. Colby, A., Kohlberg, L., Speicher, B., Hower, A., Noam, G., Lieberman, M., & Power, C. (2022). *The measurement of moral judgment (Vol. 2): Standard issue scoring manual*. Cambridge University Press.

Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

5. Gilligan, C., & Eddy, J. (2020). Listening as a path to moral development. In K. Narvaez & D. Lapsley (Eds.), *Moral development, self and identity* (pp. 231-260). Routledge.
6. Karpov, Y. V., & Haywood, H. C. (2020). Two ways to elaborate Vygotsky's concept of mediation: Implications for instruction. *American Psychologist*, 53(2), 27-36.
7. Nucci, L. P., & Narvaez, D. (2021). *Handbook of moral and character education* (3rd ed.). Routledge.