

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

# A COGNITIVE LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF CONCEPTUAL METAPHORS OF KNOWLEDGE IN ENGLISH

Jumabaeva Maftuna Ikram kyzy

Masters' Degree Student at the Department of English Linguistics,  
Karakalpak State University named after Berdakh  
[maftunajumabayeva17@icloud.com](mailto:maftunajumabayeva17@icloud.com)

### Abstract

The article explores the shift in epistemology from traditional truth-conditional logic to a **cognitive linguistic framework**. It posits that human knowledge is not an abstract entity but a conceptually structured domain derived from **embodied experience**. Central to this is the work of Lakoff and Johnson, who argue that metaphors are fundamental cognitive mechanisms rather than mere rhetorical flourishes. Moreover, article tries to mapping the process of learning onto physical movement toward a destination, complete with obstacles and crossroads as well as conceptualizing ideas as objects that can be "grasped," "acquired," or "stored."

**Keywords:** Cognitive linguistics, conceptual metaphor theory (CMT), embodied cognition, epistemology, source/target domains, image schemas, metaphorical entailment, foundationalism & coherentism.

### Introduction

The study of knowledge within the framework of cognitive linguistics represents a departure from traditional epistemological inquiries that focused primarily on truth-conditions and propositional logic. In the cognitive linguistic tradition, knowledge is not viewed as an abstract set of self-existent truths but as a

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

conceptually structured domain that emerges from human embodied experience. Central to this understanding is the Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT) [3;47], first extensively explored by George Lakoff and Mark Johnson, which posits that metaphor is a fundamental cognitive mechanism rather than a mere rhetorical ornament. This analysis explores how the English language structures the abstract concept of knowledge through systematic mappings from more concrete, sensorimotor domains, thereby revealing the underlying cognitive architecture that governs human reasoning about understanding, learning, and truth.

For centuries, the prevailing view of metaphor was rooted in Aristotelian rhetoric, where it was treated as an artistic embellishment of language—a way of saying one thing while meaning another for the sake of poetic effect [7;25]. This "rhetorical view" assumed that literal language was the standard for accurate, scientific description, and metaphor was a deviation that needed careful handling to avoid obscuring the truth. However, the cognitive revolution in the late twentieth century challenged this assumption, arguing that our ordinary conceptual system is fundamentally metaphorical in nature. Lakoff and Johnson demonstrated that metaphors are pervasive in everyday life, shaping not just our language but our perception, thought, and action [6;79].

Conceptual metaphors operate through a process of "mapping," where the structure and logic of a concrete source domain are projected onto an abstract target domain. In the context of knowledge, which is inherently intangible and difficult to delineate, the human mind relies on source domains such as physical vision, architecture, journeys, and biological processes to make the concept manageable. These mappings are not random; they are motivated by image schemas—pre-linguistic mental structures derived from our recurring bodily interactions with the physical environment. For instance, the experience of physically grasping an object provides the cognitive basis for the metaphor of "grasping" an idea, linking manual control to intellectual comprehension.

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/2>

The systemic nature of these mappings allows for what is known as "metaphorical entailment" or inference. When we map the domain of WAR onto the domain of ARGUMENT (ARGUMENT IS WAR), we do not just use the word "attack"; we inherit the entire logic of combat [4;107]. Arguments have "winners" and "losers," positions are "defended" or "undermined," and strategies are employed to "defeat" an opponent's claims. This suggests that the way we conceptualize knowledge directly influences how we engage in intellectual debate and scientific inquiry.

The history of Western philosophy provides a rich repository of conceptual metaphors that have shaped the very definition of knowledge. Epistemologists, in their attempt to theorize about the origins and validity of beliefs, have relied on powerful analogies that have since become entrenched in the English language. The philosophical origins of the vision metaphor are found in Plato's Republic, particularly in the Allegory of the Cave. Plato established a dichotomy between the world of physical sight (e-vision) and the world of intellectual vision (m-vision). In this allegory, the sun represents the ultimate source of Truth or the Good, which makes ideas visible to the "mind's eye" just as the physical sun makes objects visible to the physical eye [7;83]. The transition from ignorance to knowledge is mapped as a journey from "darkness" into "light". This mapping has profound theological and scientific implications. In the seventeenth century, the development of optics as a science strengthened the sight metaphor, but also changed its nature. While Plato viewed the eye as an active intermediary, Renaissance optics began to treat the eye as a passive lens. This shift led to metaphors of "objectivity," where the distance and non-emotionality of the observer are paramount conditions for knowledge. The Enlightenment—a term itself based on an ocular metaphor—reached a zenith of this infatuation with the visual, suggesting that Reason could potentially "see" the absolute Truth. In this mapping, the learner or researcher is a "traveler," the process of learning is the "movement along a path," and the ultimate goal or truth is the "destination"[8;27].

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

This metaphor is prevalent in both secular and religious contexts. For instance, in Prophetic discourse, the quest for knowledge is described as "pursuing a path" that leads to paradise, where human deeds act as the "vehicle" for progress.

The journey metaphor allows for a rich set of inferences regarding the difficulties and successes of the intellectual life. "Obstacles" on the road, such as "rocks" or "bumpy sections," correspond to intellectual "challenges" or "problems" that must be "navigated". If a scholar changes their theoretical orientation, they are said to be "at a crossroads" or "taking a new direction". If they become confused, they have "lost their way" or "gone astray".

The KNOWLEDGE IS POSSESSION metaphor maps the social and physical reality of ownership onto the intellectual domain. This metaphor is often linked to the concept of "power" and "control". Francis Bacon's dictum "Knowledge is power" (*scientia potestas est*) relies on this mapping, suggesting that information is a "valuable resource" that grants an advantage over others. In this framework, the learner "acquires" knowledge, "holds" beliefs, and "possesses" skills[1;97]. The physical act of "grasping" an object with the hand is mapped onto the mental act of "grasping" an idea with the mind. We speak of having a "firm grasp" of a subject or "catching onto" a concept. This metaphor is central to the "banking model" of education, where the teacher "bestows" or "deposits" knowledge into the students, who then "have" it [4;109].

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

Source Domain: Possession      Target Domain: Knowledge/Skill Linguistic Examples

Grasping	Understanding	"To get a handle on it," "Within one's grasp"
Acquiring/Buying	Learning	"Acquiring a new language," "Investment in education"
Holding/Keeping	Believing/Remembering	"To hold an opinion," "To keep a fact in mind"
Wealth/Riches	Expertise	"A wealth of experience," "Rich in knowledge"
Losing/Theft	Forgetting/Plagiarism	"To lose one's train of thought," "Stealing ideas"
Giving/Handing Over	Teaching/Communicating	"To impart wisdom," "Passing on information"

This metaphor also allows for the conceptualization of knowledge as a "commodity" that can be "traded," "sold," or "stored" for later use.

In diverse cultural contexts, knowledge is conceptualized as an instrumental "tool". A prominent example is the KNOWLEDGE IS A KEY metaphor. Here, knowledge is seen as a physical object that "unlocks" opportunities and "opens doors" to success. This metaphor is particularly entrenched in social groups where education is viewed as the primary means of economic mobilization [2;91].

Other "tool" metaphors include:

- **KNOWLEDGE IS A WEAPON:** Used in the domain of argument, where one "shoots down" a point or uses "cutting" logic.
- **KNOWLEDGE IS A VEHICLE:** Where knowledge "takes you places" or "drives" your career forward.

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

### KNOWLEDGE IS A COMPASS/GUIDE:

Used in the journey metaphor to describe information that prevents one from "going astray". In the field of second language acquisition, Conceptual Metaphor Theory is used as a powerful tool for vocabulary teaching and the development of "deep thinking" abilities. By understanding the underlying metaphors in the target language, learners can better comprehend idioms and collocations that would otherwise seem arbitrary. For instance, teaching the KNOWLEDGE IS LIGHT metaphor helps students understand why a "bright" student is smart and why an "obscure" passage is difficult to read.

The cognitive linguistic analysis of knowledge in English demonstrates that our understanding of the most abstract and complex human endeavors is inextricably linked to our physical and social existence [3;74]. We do not think about knowledge in a vacuum; we see it, we build it, we travel toward it, we possess it, and we consume it.

In conclusion, these conceptual metaphors—“**knowledge is vision, knowledge is a building, knowledge is a journey**”, and others—are not mere linguistic choices but the very scaffolds of human rationality. They allow us to structure the "vague and abstract" into the "specific and familiar," enabling the development of complex scientific theories and cultural worldviews. By revealing the systematic mappings between domains, cognitive linguistics provides a window into the mind, showing that the way we speak about what we know is a direct reflection of how we experience the world through our bodies. As we continue to develop new technologies and social structures, new metaphors will undoubtedly emerge, further expanding the conceptual horizon of human knowledge.

### References

1. Bacon, F. (1597). *Meditationes sacrae*.
2. Gibbs, R. W. (Ed.). (2008). *The Cambridge handbook of metaphor and thought*. Cambridge University Press.

## Eureka Journal of Education & Learning Technologies (EJELT)

ISSN 2760-4918 (Online)

Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/2>

3. Johnson, M. (1987). *The body in the mind: The bodily basis of meaning, imagination, and reason*. University of Chicago Press.
4. Kövecses, Z. (2010). *Metaphor: A practical introduction*. Oxford University Press.
5. Lakoff, G. (1987). *Women, fire, and dangerous things: What categories reveal about the mind*. University of Chicago Press.
6. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
7. Plato. (2003). *The republic* (D. Lee, Trans.). Penguin Classics. (Original work published ca. 375 B.C.E.).
8. Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and cognition*. Blackwell.