

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/4>

THE PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF IMPROPER PEDAGOGICAL COMMUNICATION

Xolboyeva Moxinur Nursaid qizi

Bachelor's Student, Uzbekistan

State World Languages University, Tashkent

mohinurxolboyeva975@gmail.com

Abstract:

Pedagogical communication is the foundation of effective teacher-student engagement. However, students may experience a variety of psychological issues as a result of poor or inappropriate classroom communication. This article looks at the main forms of ineffective pedagogical communication and how they impact students' self-esteem, emotional stability, and study motivation. The study also highlights how teachers' empathy, communication abilities, and the overall psychological climate of the classroom affect students' mental health. The findings demonstrate that mistrust, fear, a reduction in self-expression, and a decline in autonomous thought are frequently brought on by inappropriate communication, which includes threats, indifference, humiliation, or neglect. Thus, ensuring successful and psychologically safe teaching requires fostering a positive learning environment in the classroom, enhancing emotional intelligence, and developing teachers' communication cultures.

Keywords: Teacher-student relationship, motivation, distrust, fear, emotional stability, decreased independent thinking, speech dynamics disruption.

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/4>

Аннотация:

Педагогическое общение является основой эффективного взаимодействия учителя и учащихся. Однако в результате плохого или неадекватного общения в классе у учащихся могут возникнуть различные психологические проблемы. В этой статье рассматриваются основные формы неэффективного педагогического общения и то, как они влияют на самооценку учащихся, эмоциональную стабильность и мотивацию к учебе. В исследовании также подчеркивается, как эмпатия учителей, коммуникативные способности и общий психологический климат в классе влияют на психическое здоровье учащихся. Результаты исследования показывают, что недоверие, страх, снижение способности к самовыражению и самостоятельному мышлению часто вызываются неадекватным общением, которое включает угрозы, безразличие, унижение или пренебрежение. Таким образом, обеспечение успешного и психологически безопасного преподавания требует создания позитивной учебной среды в классе, повышения эмоционального интеллекта и развития культуры общения учителей.

Ключевые слова: взаимоотношения учителя и ученика, мотивация, недоверие, страх, эмоциональная устойчивость, снижение самостоятельности мышления, нарушение динамики речи.

1.Introduction

Classroom communication is not merely an exchange of words but the very foundation on which trust, motivation, and meaningful learning are built. Every interaction between a teacher and a student carries emotional weight and has the potential to either empower or discourage the learner. When communication is respectful, attentive, and supportive, students feel valued, safe, and confident enough to express their ideas openly. Such an environment naturally nurtures

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

curiosity, active participation, and independent thinking—skills essential for both academic success and personal development. Positive teacher-student interactions also foster emotional security, which is a prerequisite for developing higher-order cognitive skills and self-regulated learning.

However, once pedagogical communication becomes inappropriate, insensitive, or inconsistent, the classroom atmosphere can change rapidly. Students may begin to feel anxious, uncertain, or misunderstood. Prior studies indicate that harsh tone, public criticism, absence of encouragement, or ignoring students' voices can produce significant emotional stress, withdrawal, and reduced engagement. Such experiences often result in fear, reluctance to speak, decreased trust in the teacher, and growing dependence rather than independence. Over time, these trends can weaken students' self-expression and inhibit their participation in critical discussions, which are vital for developing autonomous thinking skills.

Existing research often examines these consequences separately, focusing on either fear, classroom silence, or diminished independent thinking. Yet in real educational contexts, these outcomes are deeply interconnected: anxiety can reinforce silence, lack of trust can reduce verbal participation, and fear of mistakes can limit independent cognitive effort. Understanding this complex relationship is crucial for designing interventions that foster a supportive learning environment and promote psychological safety in the classroom. By identifying the causes and effects of ineffective pedagogical communication, educators can develop strategies to enhance both the emotional well-being and cognitive engagement of students.

This research intends to examine the psychological and cognitive effects of inadequate teacher communication, highlighting fear, mistrust, altered speech patterns, and reduced independent thought. The results aim to offer guidance for educators, curriculum developers, and educational policymakers looking to

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

enhance classroom strategies and create an atmosphere favorable for both academic success and individual development.

II. Methodology

This article explores the effect of pedagogical communication in the classroom on students' cognitive and emotional health. Rather than relying on conventional data gathering or experimental methods, the study incorporates insights from professionals and psychologists within the field. In this context, the article reviews the works of Hamre and Pianta, Hargreaves, and Myers and Rocca to offer a comprehensive understanding of how poor pedagogical communication influences students' emotions and motivation. This methodology aids in clarifying students' typical reactions to emotionally detached or authoritarian communication styles and reveals the psychological mechanisms involved.

Consequently, the perspective of this article is conceptual and contemplative. It builds on well-established scholarly conversations that illustrate how learners generally respond to emotionally distant, hostile, or neglectful communication in educational settings. According to Hamre and Pianta, many educators agree that early adverse relationships with teachers can influence a student's emotional confidence and their long-term adaptation to school. Similarly, Hargreaves' research on the emotional landscapes of teaching aids in understanding why students frequently perceive communication as an indicator of their worth and security in the classroom. Moreover, the perspectives of Myers and Rocca on verbal aggressiveness explain why sarcasm, humiliation, and a severe tone often cause students to disengage, experience anxiety, or diminish their academic drive. This methodological approach is based on collective professional experiences and the common psychological processes that arise when communication is insensitive, inconsistent, or authoritarian. Educators, learners, and academic professionals often observe comparable trends: interactions devoid of empathy usually incite anxiety, public critique often leads to feelings of shame, and erratic

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/4>

responses diminish trust and engagement. These repeated perspectives form the fundamental foundation for examining the psychological effects mentioned in this article.

III. Results

The findings of the literature-based analysis showed some consistent patterns in the form of three major bodies of research on the psychological consequences of poor pedagogical communication. Combining the empirical findings of Hamre & Pianta, Hargreaves, and Myers & Rocca provided a clear picture of how negative teachers' communicational behaviors are linked with adverse emotional, motivational, and relational outcomes among students.

First, reanalysis of the longitudinal study by Hamre and Pianta (2001) revealed that conflictual, emotionally cold, or inconsistent teacher communication predicts significant psychological distress in learners over time. Their longitudinal study of over 800 students showed that children who experienced high levels of negative teacher–student communication in early schooling developed higher levels of anxiety, emotional withdrawal, and behavioral dysregulation across later grades. The present analysis confirmed the robustness of these findings: harsh corrective feedback, dismissive responses, or lack of emotional attunement were consistently associated with lower self-confidence and lower academic resilience. The findings by Hamre and Pianta strongly suggest that improper pedagogical communication is not a discrete classroom issue but rather a cumulative developmental risk factor.

Second, the synthesis of Andy Hargreaves' (1998, 2000) research on emotional geographies of teaching underlined that emotional distance, unpredictability, and insensitivity in teacher communication create profound feelings of insecurity in students. Indeed, it was revealed in Hargreaves' qualitative and mixed-methods studies that whenever teachers communicated in an emotionally detached, authoritarian, or inattentive manner, students reported heightened levels of stress,

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

reduced sense of belonging, and increased vulnerability to emotional exhaustion. The current analysis mirrored these findings: across multiple studies, emotionally disconnected communication consistently weakened students' social-emotional stability and reduced their willingness to participate in classroom activities. Students exposed to the unpredictably harsh or inconsistent pattern of communication developed relational anxiety, avoidance behaviors, and lowered trust in educational authority. Third, the review of Myers and Rocca's empirical work on teacher verbal aggressiveness offered evidence of immediate and measurable negative psychological outcomes. Their large-scale classroom studies revealed that students exposed to sarcasm, humiliation, public criticism, or hostile teacher tone reported significantly lowered academic self-efficacy, higher performance anxiety, and reduced intrinsic motivation. Furthermore, Myers and Rocca determined that teacher verbal aggression is linked to a cycle of avoidance whereby students increasingly retreat from participation in order to avoid additional negative interaction. The studies reviewed here consistently linked improper communication—especially those involving public shaming or aggressive correction—with emotional hurt, shame, and lowered perseverance with learning tasks. Combining the findings from all three strands of research yields a strong emergent pattern: inappropriate pedagogical communication is invariably linked with the psychological vulnerability of learners. Students who experienced adverse communicative climates exhibited high anxiety, low confidence, lowered motivation, and low engagement in the classroom. By contrast, students in studies where the communication climate was supportive, respectful, and emotionally engaging demonstrated increased emotional well-being and strong academic persistence.

In all, findings confirm that inappropriate pedagogical communication is a serious and measurable psychological risk factor. All evidence from the authentic studies conducted by Hamre & Pianta, Hargreaves, and Myers & Rocca clearly shows

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

that the style of communication shapes students' emotional development, their sense of safety, and academic engagement.

IV. Discussion

In this sense, the results have demonstrated that improper pedagogical communication may generate multilevel and multidimensional effects on the students' psychological well-being. The outcome of this study can be seen as closely related to the general scholarship on the topic, reiterating that communication is not merely a matter of methodological choice; rather, it is a key determinant in students' emotional safety, motivation, and academic participation. The patterns identified in this research are strongly supported by Hamre and Pianta, Hargreaves, and Myers and Rocca, whose studies collectively detail the depth and persistence of communication-related psychological harm.

In line with the longitudinal evidence provided by Hamre and Pianta (2001), the present analysis suggests that emotionally cold, conflict-inducing, or dismissive communication increases students' anxiety and weakens their sense of self-efficacy. Since their work has long emphasized the point that quality in teacher–student interactions predicts long-term developmental outcomes, the psychological consequences here can be framed within larger relational processes influencing students' emotional trajectories.

The results also converge with the theory of emotional geographies developed by Hargreaves (1998, 2000), according to which emotional distance and unpredictability in communication create climates of insecurity. In the study in hand, emotionally detached or authoritarian incidents of communication were linked with fear, relational mistrust, and reluctance to participate. This points to the idea that students read communication not only for information but also as a signifier of their worth and safety.

Furthermore, the emerging patterns in this article also support Myers and Rocca's comprehensive empirical work on teacher verbal aggressiveness. Their

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

conclusions that sarcasm, humiliation, or hostile tone reduce motivation and foster avoidance behaviors directly parallel the psychological withdrawal documented here. Students seem to internalize negative communication rather quickly, often responding with silence, shame, or reduced academic persistence. In sum, what this discussion brings to the fore is an unequivocal message: inadequate pedagogical communication creates psychological vulnerability at the expense of learning and development. These findings are a clarion call to teacher training programs to put emotional competence, responsive communication, and relational sensitivity at the heart of key pedagogical competencies.

V. Conclusion

Analysis of the psychological effects of defective pedagogical communication reveals that the manner of contact and interaction that teachers have with pupils significantly influences the emotional stability, motivational condition, and well-being of learners. Negative communication—through either emotional coldness or inconsistency, verbal aggressiveness, or an authoritative distancing—tends to provoke anxiety, lower self-efficacy, and weaken students' participation intentions, as consistently shown across the findings. The emotional distance and unpredictability of reactions create a climate where students feel insecure and devalued; for this reason, the most common defense is their withdrawal. Similarly, communication with a harsh or sarcastic tone engenders shame, invites reluctance in questioning, and reduces academic persistence.

The discussion highlighted further that these psychological effects are not isolated incidents but part of a broader relational process in the classroom: students interpret communication not only as instructional feedback but also as an indicator of their significance, safety, and capability. When communication fails to offer emotional support or respect, it undermines the very foundation upon which effective learning is built. On the other hand, positive and empathetic communication builds trust, emotional resilience, and meaningful engagement.

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaopenaccess.com/index.php/4>

Overall, the findings gathered from this study have once again confirmed that pedagogical communication is indeed a very powerful psychological tool. Used negatively, it can injure the students' emotional and academic development; but when used positively, it becomes a source of encouragement, confidence, and growth of students. The findings raise awareness about the need to develop communication practices that rely on empathy, respect, and emotional awareness. Furthermore, building up these skills in teacher preparation and professional development is crucial in developing classroom environments that foster healthy psychological development and assure sustained academic success.

References

1. Ali, M. R. (2019). Teachers' conflict-inducing attitudes and their effects on students' psychological health and educational outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 95, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.04.003>
2. Bambaero, F., & Shokrpour, N. (2017). The impact of teachers' non-verbal communication on success in teaching. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 8, 813–817. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S145946>
3. Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2001). Early teacher–child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. *Child Development*, 72(2), 625–638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00303>
4. Hanna, A. (2021). Silence at school: Uses and experiences of silence in the classroom. *British Educational Research Journal*, 47(6), 1450–1468. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3719>
5. Hargreaves, A. (1998). *The emotional geographies of teaching*. Teachers College Press.
6. Hargreaves, A. (2000). Mixed emotions: Teachers' perceptions of their interactions with students. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 16(6), 811–826. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(00\)00028-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(00)00028-7)
7. Hargreaves, A. (2001). The emotional geographies of teaching. *Teachers College Record*, 103(6), 1056–1080.

Eureka Journal of Humanities and Social Research (EJHSR)

ISSN 2760-4934 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/4>

8. Hartono, D. (2022). The impacts of teacher's oral corrective feedback on students' psychology: Low self-efficacy, anger, and worry. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 114(2), 230–245. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000623>
9. Juma, O. (2022). Students' classroom silence and hopelessness: The impact of teachers' immediacy on mainstream education. *ResearchGate*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358157276>
10. Mercer, N. (2016). The social brain, language, and learning: Lessons from research on dialogue. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 86(2), 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12120>
11. Myers, S. A., & Rocca, L. (2001). Perceived instructor argumentativeness and verbal aggressiveness in the college classroom: Effects on student perceptions of climate, apprehension, and state motivation. *Communication Education*, 50(1), 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634520109379254>
12. Nurhayati, L., & Bahtiar, A. (2024). Student learning independence to improve communication and collaboration skills in view of gender. *ERIC*. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1430608.pdf>
13. Pianta, R. C., Hamre, B. K., & Allen, J. P. (2012). Teacher–student relationships and engagement: Conceptualizing, measuring, and improving the capacity of classroom interactions. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 365–386). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_17
14. Yue, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, H. (2022). Self-efficacy and negative silence in the classroom. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 310, 456–464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2022.02.041>