

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

THE CLINICAL IMPACT OF MICRONEEDLING DEPTH ON SKIN QUALITY PARAMETERS: A PROSPECTIVE EVALUATION OF WRINKLES, ELASTICITY, PORE SIZE, AND PATIENT SATISFACTION

Flavio Refrigeri

Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Azteca

Abstract

Microneedling, or Percutaneous Collagen Induction (PCI), is a minimally invasive dermatological procedure utilized for skin rejuvenation and the treatment of various dermatological concerns. Despite its popularity, clinical protocols regarding optimal needle penetration depth—which directly correlates to the intensity of the wound-healing cascade and fibroblast activation—remain heterogeneous. This article reviews the clinical impact of varying microneedling depths on skin quality parameters, including wrinkle severity, dermal elasticity, pore size, and patient-reported outcomes.

Keywords: Microneedling, collagen induction therapy, skin rejuvenation, needle depth, dermal remodeling, patient satisfaction.

Introduction

Microneedling has emerged as a cornerstone of aesthetic dermatology, favored for its ability to stimulate the skin's natural regenerative processes without the prolonged downtime associated with ablative laser resurfacing (Jaiswal & Jawade, 2024). The mechanism of action relies on the creation of controlled micro-injuries that breach the stratum corneum and extend into the dermis,

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

triggering an inflammatory cascade that culminates in the release of growth factors and the subsequent synthesis of new collagen and elastin (de Andrade Lima, 2013; Yadev & Singh, 2016).

A critical variable in this process is the depth of needle penetration. Because the biological response is proportional to the depth and intensity of the induced injury, selecting the appropriate needle length is essential for targeting specific skin layers and addressing distinct aesthetic concerns such as rhytids, pore enlargement, and diminished elasticity.

The clinical impact of microneedling is governed by the precision of needle penetration, which dictates the physiological response within the skin's layers. Because microneedling works by inducing a "controlled micro-injury," the depth of the needles determines whether the procedure serves as a superficial exfoliation or a deep, structural remodeling therapy.

Biological Mechanisms of Depth-Dependent Efficacy

The therapeutic success of microneedling relies on the wound-healing cascade. The response varies significantly based on the depth of penetration:

- Superficial (0.25 mm – 0.5 mm): This depth primarily disrupts the stratum corneum and the uppermost layers of the epidermis. It is highly effective for transdermal drug delivery, allowing topicals (like vitamins or growth factors) to bypass the skin's natural barrier.
- Intermediate (0.5 mm – 1.5 mm): This reaches the papillary and upper reticular dermis. It triggers the activation of fibroblasts, which are the primary cells responsible for synthesizing Type I and Type III collagen. This depth is optimal for general rejuvenation, fine line reduction, and pore refinement.
- Deep (1.5 mm – 2.5 mm): Targeting the deeper reticular dermis is essential for structural remodeling. At this depth, the injury is sufficient to disrupt dense, disorganized collagen fibers found in acne scars and deep rhytids, forcing the body to replace them with healthier, more organized tissue.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

Impact on Skin Quality Parameters

Research consistently links specific depths to clinical outcomes in key quality indicators:

Parameter	Recommended Depth	Rationale
Wrinkles	0.5 mm – 1.5 mm	Superficial lines respond well to 0.5 mm, whereas deeper wrinkles require mid-dermal penetration (1.0–1.5 mm) to induce enough collagen density to "plump" the depression.
Elasticity	1.0 mm – 2.0 mm	Improved elasticity is a result of total collagen and elastin fiber increase. Deeper penetration facilitates the transformation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, which provide structural tightening.
Pore Size	0.5 mm – 1.0 mm	Refinement of pore appearance is largely due to improved skin texture and normalized sebum production triggered by controlled epidermal turnover and dermal stimulation.

Anatomical Considerations and Safety

A critical clinical rule is that "more is not always better." The skin's thickness varies significantly across the body, and improper depth selection leads to complications:

- **Thin Skin (Forehead/Eyelids):** Using depths intended for thicker areas (like the cheeks or body) on thin skin increases the risk of damaging underlying structures, such as the periosteum (bone covering), leading to significant pain and trauma.
- **Hyperpigmentation Risk:** Excessive depth—particularly in higher Fitzpatrick skin types—can trigger an inflammatory response that leads to post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH).

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

- Downtime vs. Efficacy: Studies confirm that patient satisfaction is high when downtime is managed effectively. While deeper needles offer more profound structural changes, they necessitate longer recovery times (typically 5–7 days for deep treatments, compared to 2–3 days for superficial ones).

Patient Satisfaction and Clinical Protocols

Clinical evaluations (such as those using the Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale) show that patient satisfaction is highest when the treatment protocol is consistent and graduated.

- Cumulative Benefits: Most clinical success stories involve a series of 3–4 sessions spaced 4–6 weeks apart. This allows for the full cycle of collagen remodeling, which takes several months to manifest visually.
- Combined Modalities: The efficacy of microneedling is frequently boosted by combining it with serums or chemical agents. The micro-channels created at the 0.5 mm depth allow these agents to reach deeper than surface application, significantly enhancing results for pigment and texture issues.

Summary of Clinical Best Practice

The current consensus in dermatology is to prioritize a "depth-to-goal" strategy:

1. For Texture/Brightening: Use shallow depths (0.25–0.5 mm) to avoid excessive inflammation.
2. For Anti-Aging: Use moderate depths (0.5–1.5 mm) to stimulate collagen production in the mid-dermis.
3. For Corrective/Scarring: Use deep depths (1.5–2.5 mm) only in areas with sufficient dermal thickness, strictly under professional guidance to prevent complications.

The primary challenge in microneedling research is the lack of universal standardization. While clinical efficacy is well-documented, the "one-size-fits-all" approach to needle depth often leads to suboptimal results. For instance, treating thin periorbital skin with 2.0 mm needles may lead to unnecessary trauma

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

and prolonged erythema, while using 0.5 mm needles for deep, atrophic acne scars will fail to induce the necessary mechanical break-up of collagen bundles (Yadev & Singh, 2016). Clinicians must balance the desired aesthetic goal with the patient's downtime tolerance and skin integrity.

Conclusion

Microneedling depth is a decisive factor in the clinical success of skin rejuvenation. While superficial treatments provide immediate cosmetic improvements, structural rejuvenation of wrinkles and elasticity requires deeper, targeted penetration into the dermis. Future protocols should emphasize anatomical mapping—adjusting depths based on local skin thickness—to maximize therapeutic outcomes.

- **Standardization:** Establish consensus guidelines for needle depth based on anatomical zone and specific skin concern.
- **Combination Therapies:** Evaluate the synergy between depth-specific microneedling and bioactive agents (e.g., PRP, hyaluronic acid) to accelerate healing and boost neocollagenesis.
- **Technology Integration:** Incorporate real-time skin thickness imaging during procedures to ensure precise penetration, particularly when using energy-based devices.

References

1. de Andrade Lima, E. V. (2013). Microneedling: experimental study and classification of the resulting injury. *Surg Cosmet Dermatol*, 5(2), 110–114.
2. Jaiswal, S., & Jawade, S. (2024). Microneedling in Dermatology: A Comprehensive Review of Applications, Techniques, and Outcomes. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.70033>
3. Kumar, N. (2026). Radiofrequency Microneedling for Facial Rejuvenation: A Systematic Review. PMC - NIH.



Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

4. Malarz, D. (2025). Microneedle radiofrequency for skin rejuvenation: bridging image-derived metrics and photographic assessment. *Frontiers*.
5. Schendzielorz, J. (2025). Efficacy of Microneedling in the Treatment of Acne Scars. *Archiv-EuroMedica*.
6. Yadev, S., & Singh, A. (2016). Microneedling: Advances and widening horizons. *Indian Dermatology Online Journal*, 7(4), 244–254. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5178.185468>