

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

THE ROLE OF SODIUM-GLUCOSE COTRANSPORTER-2 (SGLT2) INHIBITORS IN ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION

D.A. Alimov¹,
R.P. Tulakov²,
A.K. Ergashev²,
B.I. Shukurov¹

¹Republican Scientific Center for Emergency Medical Care, Tashkent,
Uzbekistan

²Kashkadarya Branch of the Republican Scientific Center for Emergency
Medical Care, Karshi, Uzbekistan

Abstract

This article analyzes the impact of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, particularly empagliflozin, on the course of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Data are presented on the high prevalence of T2DM and its association with cardiovascular complications. The cardioprotective mechanisms of SGLT2 inhibitors are discussed, including the reduction of preload and afterload, improvement of myocardial metabolism, and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. Randomized clinical trials (EMPA-REG OUTCOME, EMPEROR-Reduced) have demonstrated a reduction in cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization rates with SGLT2 inhibitors. However, their use in the acute phase of AMI remains insufficiently studied. The authors emphasize the need for further research to determine the optimal timing and conditions for their administration.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

Keywords: type 2 diabetes mellitus, acute myocardial infarction, complications, cardioprotection, treatment, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors, empagliflozin.

Introduction

According to the 10th edition of the IDF Diabetes Atlas (2021), approximately 537 million adults aged 20–79 years worldwide are living with diabetes mellitus (DM). This number is projected to increase to 643 million by 2030 and to 783 million by 2045. In 32 European countries with a combined population of 850 million, the number of individuals aged over 15 years diagnosed with diabetes reaches 59.8 million, with the prevalence doubling every 10–15 years [1].

According to the Ministry of Health of Uzbekistan, more than 245,000 patients with diabetes are officially registered in the country; however, experts estimate the actual number of affected individuals to be approximately 2.37 million (7.9% of the population) [2]. In the Russian Federation, as in many other countries worldwide, the prevalence of diabetes continues to rise. Since 2000, the number of patients with DM has more than doubled [3], reaching 5,168,800 individuals by the end of 2021 [4].

Diabetes mellitus is widely recognized as one of the principal risk factors for the development of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and their complications. At the same time, cardiovascular complications remain the leading cause of mortality among patients with DM. According to international registries, patients with previously diagnosed diabetes account for 19–23% of all individuals hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Moreover, in a substantial proportion of cases, diabetes is first diagnosed during hospitalization for AMI. The incidence of AMI in patients with diabetes is three times higher compared to individuals without diabetes, and it is characterized by a more severe clinical course and occurrence at a younger age [5,6].

Patients with AMI represent a high-risk group for recurrent myocardial infarction,

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

chronic heart failure, life-threatening arrhythmias, and cardiovascular death [7,8]. The risk begins at the onset of myocardial infarction symptoms, increases with the duration of ischemia, and remains elevated in both the mid-term and long-term periods, particularly in cases complicated by left ventricular systolic dysfunction or the development of heart failure [9,10].

Prospects for the Use of Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors in Acute Myocardial Infarction

Currently, patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) receive a broad spectrum of evidence-based therapies during the early post-infarction period. These measures aim to reduce the risk of adverse cardiac remodeling, prevent the development of chronic heart failure, sudden cardiac death, and progression to end-stage disease. However, despite substantial advances in treatment, there remains a need for additional effective therapeutic strategies, as the rates of adverse cardiovascular outcomes remain unacceptably high [11,12].

In this context, sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors have attracted increasing attention in recent years, particularly empagliflozin, which demonstrates not only glucose-lowering effects but also pronounced cardioprotective properties [13]. These agents improve cardiorenal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). The EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial demonstrated that treatment with empagliflozin significantly reduced cardiovascular mortality and hospitalizations for heart failure in patients with T2DM and a prior history of myocardial infarction [14].

Empagliflozin, one of the most widely used representatives of the SGLT2 inhibitor class, effectively lowers blood glucose levels by inhibiting renal tubular glucose reabsorption; however, its cardioprotective effects extend far beyond glycemic control. Key cardioprotective mechanisms include reduction of cardiac preload and afterload due to its diuretic effect, improvement of myocardial

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

metabolism through a metabolic shift toward ketone body utilization, and significant attenuation of inflammation and oxidative stress. These mechanisms render empagliflozin a unique therapeutic option for patients with T2DM and cardiovascular disease [15].

The EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial included more than 7,000 patients with T2DM and high cardiovascular risk. The results demonstrated a 38% reduction in cardiovascular mortality, a 35% reduction in hospitalization for heart failure, and a 32% reduction in all-cause mortality with empagliflozin therapy [14]. These findings were further supported by subsequent trials, such as EMPEROR-Reduced, which confirmed the benefits of the drug in patients with chronic heart failure [16].

A number of studies have investigated the long-term use of SGLT2 inhibitors in stable outpatients with T2DM, heart failure, and diabetic nephropathy [17–22]. These studies included ambulatory patients both with and without concomitant atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. The results consistently demonstrated that prolonged SGLT2 inhibitor therapy reduces hospitalizations for heart failure, slows the progression of renal disease, and decreases the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular death). Compelling evidence supporting the beneficial effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on the course of T2DM, nephropathy, and cardiovascular disease has been incorporated into national and international clinical guidelines [23–28], as well as into recommendations by regulatory authorities such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which endorse the use of these agents in various stable clinical settings to achieve broad therapeutic benefits [29].

Early initiation and sustained therapy with SGLT2 inhibitors in the setting of AMI appear justified due to their potential ability to favorably influence disease progression, reduce susceptibility to ventricular remodeling, and decrease the risk of heart failure progression [30]. These hypotheses have received additional experimental support in animal models of AMI, both in diabetic and non-diabetic

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

subjects [31,32]. Importantly, the mechanisms of action of SGLT2 inhibitors are not directly related to inhibition of coronary thrombosis but are associated with attenuation of neurohormonal activation, reduction of cardiomyocyte necrosis, and mitigation of reperfusion injury [33,34].

The therapeutic effects of SGLT2 inhibitors are thought to be mediated by normalization of endothelial function and vasodilation [35], optimization of myocardial energy metabolism [31,33,36], and preservation of cardiac contractility. Furthermore, these agents attenuate oxidative stress pathways, thereby improving coronary blood flow and reducing ventricular load [32,33,37]. Collectively, these effects contribute to the prevention of cardiomegaly, arrhythmias, myocardial fibrosis, and heart failure [38].

In patients with T2DM and stable ischemic heart disease, SGLT2 inhibitor therapy has been shown to reduce left ventricular mass and promote reverse myocardial remodeling [39]. Similar beneficial effects have been observed in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), where SGLT2 inhibitors help prevent or attenuate adverse remodeling [40–42].

Additionally, SGLT2 inhibition may provide further cardiometabolic benefits in high-risk post-myocardial infarction patients, including reduction of preload and afterload, improved glycemic control, and weight loss through mechanisms of natriuresis and glucosuria [43]. Moreover, direct and indirect cardiorenal effects—such as reduction of intraglomerular pressure, stabilization of renal function, rapid increase in fractional sodium excretion without activation of the sympathetic nervous system, and stimulation of renal erythropoietin production—contribute to optimization of plasma volume and improved myocardial oxygen delivery [43–46].

Risks and Limitations of SGLT2 Inhibitor Use

It should be noted that the aforementioned positive therapeutic effects of SGLT2 inhibitors were predominantly observed in patients with acute myocardial

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

infarction (AMI) who had been receiving these agents long-term for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) or chronic kidney disease (CKD) prior to the cardiovascular event. Therefore, the question of their efficacy and safety when initiated in the early stages following AMI remains open.

Most of the previously described studies were conducted in stable outpatient populations with T2DM, heart failure (HF), or nephropathy and excluded individuals with recently experienced acute cardiovascular events. For example, in the DECLARE–TIMI 58 trial evaluating dapagliflozin, 21% of participants had a prior history of myocardial infarction, with a median time since the last event of 5.4 years (interquartile range: 2.1–10.9 years) [47]. Only 844 patients (4.9%) had experienced AMI within the preceding two years, and 488 patients (2.8%) within the previous year. Furthermore, patients with recent acute coronary syndromes were excluded, and the study population primarily consisted of stable outpatients.

Similarly, in large-scale trials such as EMPA-REG OUTCOME, CANVAS, and CREDENCE, the representation of patients with relatively recent AMI was limited. In the multicenter DECLARE–TIMI 58 trial, the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) increased as the time interval since confirmed AMI decreased [47]. Notably, among patients treated with dapagliflozin, a reduction in MACE was observed depending on the timing of therapy initiation—the earlier the drug was started after AMI, the greater the relative and absolute risk reduction.

Comparable findings were reported in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, in which 1,214 participants (17.9%) had experienced a confirmed acute cardiovascular event, including AMI, within the previous year. In this subgroup, empagliflozin demonstrated consistently high efficacy compared with patients who had a more remote history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Only a limited number of patients in the early post-infarction period were included in trials such as DAPA-HF (dapagliflozin for heart failure), EMPEROR-

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

Reduced (empagliflozin in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction), EMPEROR-Preserved (empagliflozin in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction), DELIVER (dapagliflozin in HFpEF), and DAPA-CKD (dapagliflozin in CKD) [48–52]. Importantly, all of these trials excluded patients who had experienced myocardial infarction within the previous three months.

Current clinical guidelines for the management of AMI do not yet recommend routine use of SGLT2 inhibitors during the acute phase of the disease [53]. Caution is advised to avoid hypovolemia, hypotension, ketoacidosis, or acute kidney injury, which may occur during the acute stage and early post-infarction period. Encouragingly, such adverse events have thus far been reported relatively infrequently and only slightly more often compared with placebo in patients with chronic or acute heart failure receiving SGLT2 inhibitors [19,20,22,54,55]. Nevertheless, the applicability of this therapeutic paradigm to patients with AMI remains uncertain.

An additional safety consideration in patients with AMI relates to the increasing use of coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), which carries a risk of contrast-induced acute kidney injury [56]. In this context, concomitant SGLT2 inhibitor therapy may potentially exacerbate the modest decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) observed due to their mechanism of action affecting afferent arteriolar tone. However, substantial evidence indicates that SGLT2 inhibition contributes to long-term stabilization of renal function in patients with T2DM, CKD, and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction [45,46], which may support their safe use even in the setting of acute renal dysfunction during AMI. Nonetheless, further investigation into the safety of SGLT2 inhibitor use following PCI in this population is essential.

Another limitation of SGLT2 inhibitor use in AMI is the insufficient evidence regarding their combined administration with renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors.

Current clinical guidelines for AMI management do not provide sufficient

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

evidence to support the routine use of SGLT2 inhibitors even in patients with concomitant T2DM or transient congestive symptoms [57–60]. Moreover, in the United States, analyses of prescription patterns within the Medicare Part D program and large healthcare systems indicate that the overall utilization rate of this drug class remains low, with substantial variation across physician specialties [61,62]. Practicing clinicians, healthcare quality auditors, and guideline committees increasingly seek to rely on the highest levels of evidence and avoid prescribing therapies with limited or insufficiently robust safety and efficacy data [63].

Thus, well-designed, adequately powered clinical trials in this field have the potential to significantly influence standards of care for patients with AMI. A stepwise accumulation of evidence evaluating efficacy and safety in dedicated studies of patients with established heart failure and in high-risk AMI populations predisposed to developing chronic heart failure has numerous precedents—most notably in the historical evaluation of beta-blockers and RAAS inhibitors.

Conclusion

SGLT2 inhibitors represent an innovative class of oral glucose-lowering agents that have entered clinical practice in recent years. Empagliflozin has demonstrated substantial benefits in the management of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), including a reduction in cardiovascular complications and improvement in overall prognosis. Its potential use in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) opens new perspectives for enhancing survival and quality of life. Nevertheless, further studies are required to evaluate the efficacy and safety of SGLT2 inhibitors during the acute phase of AMI. Particular attention should be given to their initiation in the early post-infarction period, which may provide additional opportunities for cardioprotection.

It remains unclear whether SGLT2 inhibitors initiated in the early stages of AMI exert similar or differential effects—both in terms of efficacy and safety—

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

depending on the presence or absence of ST-segment elevation (STEMI vs. NSTEMI). The therapeutic efficacy and safety profile of SGLT2 inhibitors may also be influenced by multiple clinical factors, including hemodynamic status, cardiac output, cardiac filling pressures, left ventricular dysfunction, peripheral organ perfusion, renal function, and the timing of reperfusion therapy.

Taking these considerations into account, and in analogy with the initiation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and beta-blockers, the most appropriate timing for the introduction of SGLT2 inhibitors is likely after the achievement of hemodynamic stability in patients with AMI.

References

1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2021. Available at: <https://www.diabetesatlas.org>
2. Sobirova DR, Usmanov RD, Akhmedova DB. Monitoring glycated hemoglobin and glucose levels as an important factor in the treatment of experimental diabetes. *Infection, Immunity, and Pharmacology*. 2024;4:121–125. (In Russian).
3. Dedov II, Shestakova MV, Vikulova OK, Galstyan GR, Demidova TYu, Zaitseva EV. Atlas of the Diabetes Register of the Russian Federation. Status 2018. *Diabetes Mellitus*. 2019;22(2S):4–61. (In Russian). doi:10.14341/DM12208
4. Federal State Statistics Service of the Russian Federation. Healthcare in Russia, 2021. Published February 3, 2022. Available at: <https://rosstat.gov.ru/folder/210/document/13218> (accessed February 3, 2025).
5. Nabi H, Kivimäki M, Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Britton A, Brunner EJ, et al. Increased risk of coronary heart disease among individuals reporting adverse impact of stress on their health: the Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34(34):2697–2705. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/eh216

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

6. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2025. *Diabetes Care*. 2025;48(Suppl 1):S27–S49.
7. Desta L, Jernberg T, Löfman I, Hofman-Bang C, Hagerman I, Spaak J, et al. Incidence, temporal trends, and prognostic impact of heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction: The SWEDHEART Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol HF*. 2015;3:234–242. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2014.10.010
8. Peters SAE, Colantonio LD, Dai Y, Zhao H, Bittner V, Farkouh ME, et al. Trends in recurrent coronary heart disease after myocardial infarction among US women and men between 2008 and 2017. *Circulation*. 2021;143:650–660. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.049172
9. Giustino G, Redfors B, Brener SJ, Mehran R, Dangas GD, Feit F, et al. Correlates and prognostic impact of new-onset heart failure after ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary PCI: Insights from INFUSE-AMI. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2018;7:339–347. doi:10.1177/2048872617744417
10. Bahit MC, Kochar A, Granger CB. Post-myocardial infarction heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol HF*. 2018;6:179–186. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2017.10.009
11. Krumholz HM, Normand ST, Wang Y. Twenty-year trends in outcomes for older adults with acute myocardial infarction in the United States. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2:e191938. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.198
12. Desai NR, Udell JA, Wang Y, Spatz ES, Dharmarajan K, Ahmad T, et al. Trends in performance and opportunities for improvement on a composite measure of acute myocardial infarction care. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2019;12:e004983. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.118.00493
13. Musaev TYa, Akhmedova NM, Tukhtaev AA. Use of sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in a young patient after acute myocardial infarction: a clinical case report. *Central Asian Journal of Medical and Natural Science*. 2023;4(5):497–505. (In Russian).

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

14. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(22):2117–2128. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504720
15. Fitchett D. Empagliflozin outcomes in diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(11):1092–1093. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1600826
16. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, Carson P, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1413–1424. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2022190
17. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380(24):2295–2306. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1811744
18. Heerspink HJL, Stefansson BV, Correa-Rotter R, Chertow GM, Greene T, Hou FF, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1436–1446. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2024816
19. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(21):1995–2008. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1911303
20. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, Carson P, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(15):1413–1424. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2022190
21. Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Pitt B, Cannon CP, Leiter LA, McGuire DK, et al. Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384:129–139. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2030186
22. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Böhm M, et al. Empagliflozin in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385:1451–1461. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2107038
23. O'Meara E., McDonald M., Chan M., Ducharme A., Ezekowitz J.A., Giannetti N., et al. CCS/CHFS heart failure guidelines: clinical trial update on

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

- functional mitral regurgitation, SGLT2 inhibitors, ARNI in HFpEF, and tafamidis in amyloidosis. *Can J Cardiol.* 2020;36:159-169. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2019.12.034.
24. Lipscombe L., Butalia S., Dasgupta K., Eurich D.T., MacCallum L., Majumdar S.R., et al. Pharmacologic glycemic management of type 2 diabetes in adults: 2020 update. *Can J Diabetes.* 2020;44:575-591. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjd.2020.05.005.
25. Das S.R., Everett B.M., Birtcher K.K., Brown J.M., Cefalu W.T., Januzzi J.L. Jr., et al. 2020 expert consensus decision pathway on novel therapies for cardiovascular risk reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;76:1117-1145. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.037.
26. Seferovic P.M., Fragasso G., Petrie M., Mullens W., Ferrari R., Thum T., et al. Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology update on sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors in heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2020;22:1984-1986. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2026.
27. Seferovic P.M., Fragasso G., Petrie M., Mullens W., Ferrari R., Thum T., et al. Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors in heart failure: beyond glycaemic control. A position paper of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2020;22:1495-1503. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1912.
28. American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: standards of medical care in diabetes—2021. *Diabetes Care.* 2021;44:S111-S124. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S009.
29. National Center for Health Statistics. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/>.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

30. Verma S., Anker S.D., Butler J., Bhatt D.L. Early initiation of SGLT2 inhibitors is important, irrespective of ejection fraction: SOLOIST-WHF in perspective. *ESC Heart Fail.* 2020;7:3261-3267. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.13101.
31. Lee S.Y., Lee T.W., Park G.T., Kim S., Kim M., Kim S.H., et al. Sodium/glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor, empagliflozin, alleviated transient expression of SGLT2 after myocardial infarction. *Korean Circ J.* 2021;51:251-262. doi: 10.4070/kcj.2020.0420.
32. Liu Y., Wu M., Xu J., Xu B., Kang L. Empagliflozin prevents early cardiac injury post myocardial infarction in non-diabetic mice. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2021;158:105788. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105788.
33. Santos-Gallego C.G., Requena-Ibanez J.A., San Antonio R., Ishikawa K., Watanabe S., Picatoste B., et al. Empagliflozin ameliorates adverse left ventricular remodeling in nondiabetic heart failure by enhancing myocardial energetics. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:1931-1944. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.01.056.
34. Shimizu W., Kubota Y., Hoshika Y., Aizawa Y., Inden Y., Kondo T., et al. Effects of empagliflozin versus placebo on cardiac sympathetic activity in acute myocardial infarction patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the EMBODY trial. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2020;19:148. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01120-0.
35. Batzias K., Antonopoulos A.S., Oikonomou E., Kollia M.E., Tousoulis D. Effects of newer antidiabetic drugs on endothelial function and arterial stiffness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;2018:1232583. doi: 10.1155/2018/1232583.
36. Oshima H., Miki T., Kuno A., Tanno M., Kouzu H., Mizukura M., et al. Empagliflozin, an SGLT2 inhibitor, reduced the mortality rate after acute myocardial infarction with modification of cardiac metabolomes and antioxidants in diabetic rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2019;368:524-534. doi: 10.1124/jpet.118.253906.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

37. Hess D.A., Terenzi D.C., Trac J.Z., Quan A., Mason T., Al-Omran M., et al. SGLT2 inhibition with empagliflozin increases circulating provascular progenitor cells in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cell Metab.* 2019;30:609-613. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.08.015.
38. Li C., Zhang J., Xue M., Li X., Han F., Liu X., et al. SGLT2 inhibition with empagliflozin attenuates myocardial oxidative stress and fibrosis in diabetic mice heart. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2019;18:15. doi: 10.1186/s12933-019-0816-2.
39. Verma S., Mazer C.D., Yan A.T., Mason T., Garg V., Wijeyesundera H.C., et al. Effect of empagliflozin on left ventricular mass in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease: the EMPA-HEART CardioLink-6 randomized clinical trial. *Circulation.* 2019;140:1693-1702. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.042375.
40. Lee M.M.Y., Brooksbank K.J.M., Wetherall K., Mangion K., Roditi G., Campbell R.T., et al. Effect of empagliflozin on left ventricular volumes in patients with type 2 diabetes, or prediabetes, and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (SUGAR-DM-HF). *Circulation.* 2021;143:516-525. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.051675.
41. Omar M., Jensen J., Ali M., Frederiksen P.H., Iversen K., Køber L., et al. Associations of empagliflozin with left ventricular volumes, mass, and function in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: a substudy of the Empire HF randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2021;6(7):836-840. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.7945.
42. Santos-Gallego C.G., Vargas-Delgado A.P., Requena-Ibanez J.A., Sanz J., Rodriguez-Cordero A., Mancini D., et al. Randomized trial of empagliflozin in nondiabetic patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77(3):243-255. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.008.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

43. Cowie M.R., Fisher M. SGLT2 inhibitors: mechanisms of cardiovascular benefit beyond glycaemic control. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2020;17(12):761-772. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-00441-0.
44. Mazer C.D., Hare G.M.T., Connelly P.W., Gilbert R.E., Shehata N., Quan A., et al. Effect of empagliflozin on erythropoietin levels, iron stores, and red blood cell morphology in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary artery disease. *Circulation.* 2020;141(8):704-707. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044235.
45. Zelniker T.A., Braunwald E. Mechanisms of cardiorenal effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors: JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75(4):422-434. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.11.031.
46. Jensen J., Omar M., Kistorp C., Tuxen C., Gustafsson I., Køber L., et al. Effects of empagliflozin on estimated extracellular volume, estimated plasma volume, and measured glomerular filtration rate in patients with heart failure (Empire HF Renal): a prespecified substudy of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2021;9(2):106-116. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30382-2.
47. Furtado R.H.M., Bonaca M.P., Raz I., Zelniker T.A., Mosenzon O., Kuder J.F., et al. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and previous myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2019;139(22):2516-2527. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.039996.
48. McMurray J.J.V., DeMets D.L., Inzucchi S.E., Køber L., Kosiborod M.N., Langkilde A.M., et al. The Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse-outcomes in Heart Failure (DAPA-HF) trial: baseline characteristics. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2019;21(11):1402-1411. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1548.
49. Packer M., Anker S.D., Butler J., Filippatos G., Pocock S.J., Carson P., et al. Effect of empagliflozin on the clinical stability of patients with heart failure and a reduced ejection fraction: the EMPEROR-Reduced trial. *Circulation.* 2021;143(4):326-336. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.051783.

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

50. Packer M., Anker S.D., Butler J., Filippatos G., Zannad F., Ferreira J.P., et al. Effect of empagliflozin on worsening heart failure events in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: EMPEROR-Preserved trial. *Circulation*. 2021;144(16):1284-1294. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.056824.
51. Solomon S.D., de Boer R.A., DeMets D., Hernandez A.F., Inzucchi S.E., Kosiborod M.N., et al. Dapagliflozin in heart failure with preserved and mildly reduced ejection fraction: rationale and design of the DELIVER trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2021;23(7):1217-1225. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2249.
52. Heerspink H.J.L., Stefansson B.V., Correa-Rotter R., Chertow G.M., Greene T., Hou F.F., et al. Rationale and protocol of the Dapagliflozin And Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease (DAPA-CKD) randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2020;35(2):274-282. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfz290.
53. Cherney D.Z., Udell J.A. Use of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in the hands of cardiologists: with great power comes great responsibility. *Circulation*. 2016;134(24):1915-1917. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025901.
54. Damman K., Beusekamp J.C., Boersma E.M., Swart H.P., Smilde T.D., Elvan A., et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre pilot study on the effects of empagliflozin on clinical outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure (EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF). *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020;22(4):713-722. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1713.
55. Tamaki S., Yamada T., Watanabe T., Morita T., Furukawa Y., Kawasaki M., et al. Effect of empagliflozin as an add-on therapy on decongestion and renal function in patients with diabetes hospitalized for acute decompensated heart

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

- failure: a prospective randomized controlled study. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2021;14(3):e007048. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007048.
56. Daminova L., Muminov Sh., Nigmonov B.
The impact of percutaneous coronary intervention on renal function in patients with coronary artery disease. *Cardiology of Uzbekistan.* 2024;1(1):20–27. (In Russian)
57. Collet J.P., Thiele H., Barbato E., Barthélémy O., Bauersachs J., Bhatt D.L., et al. 2020 ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(14):1289-1367. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa575.
58. Ibanez B., James S., Agewall S., Antunes M.J., Bucciarelli-Ducci C., Bueno H., et al. 2017 ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39(2):119-177. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393.
59. Levine G.N., Bates E.R., Blankenship J.C., Bailey S.R., Bittl J.A., Cercek B., et al. 2015 ACC/AHA/SCAI focused update on primary percutaneous coronary intervention for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention and the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67(10):1235-1250. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.10.005.
60. Amsterdam E.A., Wenger N.K., Brindis R.G., Casey D.E. Jr., Ganiats T.G., Holmes D.R. Jr., et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64(24):e139-e228. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.09.017.
61. Sumarsono A., Everett B.M., McGuire D.K., de Lemos J.A., Khera R. Trends in aggregate use and associated expenditures of antihyperglycemic therapies

Eureka Journal of Health Sciences & Medical Innovation (EJHSMI)

ISSN 2760-4942 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2026



This article/work is licensed under CC by 4.0 Attribution

<https://eurekaoa.com/index.php/5>

- among US Medicare beneficiaries between 2012 and 2017. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180(1):141-144. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.4471.
62. Vaduganathan M., Sathiyakumar V., Singh A., McCarthy C.P., Qamar A., Januzzi J.L., et al. Prescriber patterns of SGLT2i after expansions of U.S. Food and Drug Administration labeling. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72(25):3370-3372. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.046.
63. Fanaroff A.C., Califf R.M., Windecker S., Smith S.C., Lopes R.D. Levels of evidence supporting American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association and European Society of Cardiology guidelines, 2008-2018. *JAMA.* 2019;321(11):1069-1080. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.1122.

Author Information:

Daniyar Anvarovich Alimov – Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor, Director of the Republican Research Center of Emergency Medicine. E-mail: alimov.daniyar.a@gmail.com. ORCID: 0009-0003-6362-8786

Ergashev Alisher Kuzievich – Head of the Cardiology Department at the Kashkadarya Branch of the Republican Research Center of Emergency Medicine.